

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/005,577

Applicant(s)

LEFKOWITZ ET AL.

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1634

## All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Timothy Joyce.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 February 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

53-57

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed Mr. Joyce that the remarks regarding the rejections were convincing for all claims except 53-57 because those claims do not require covalent linkage of the polymer to the surface coating. The examiner stated that cancellation of Claims 53-57 would place the remaining claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Joyce agreed to cancel Claim 53-57.

  
BJ FORMAN, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER